

UPPER MERION TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
DECEMBER 6, 2012

The Board of Supervisors of Upper Merion Township met for a Business Meeting on Thursday, December 6, 2012, in Freedom Hall, in the Township Building in King of Prussia. The meeting was called to order at 7:36 p.m., followed by a pledge to the flag.

ROLL CALL:

Supervisors present were: Greg Philips, Greg Waks, Erika Spott, Bill Jenaway. Also present were: David Kraynik, Township Manager Designee; John Iannozzi, Township Solicitor; Rob Loeper, Township Planner; Tom Beach, Township Engineer; Judith A. Vicchio, Assistant Township Manager, Angela Caramenico, Assistant to the Township Manager. Supervisor Carole Kenney was absent.

MEETING MINUTES:

It was moved by Mr. Jenaway, seconded by Mr. Waks, all voting "Aye" to approve the October 25, 2012 Budget Workshop Meeting Minutes, November 1, 2012 Business Meeting Minutes, and the November 7, 2012 Budget Workshop Meeting Minutes as submitted. None opposed. Motion approved 4-0.

CHAIRPERSON'S COMMENTS:

Chairperson Spott stated an Executive Session was held prior to this Business Meeting to discuss litigation.

NEW BUSINESS

NAMING OF THE UPPER MERION SKATE PARK

Mr. Dan Russell, Director, Parks and Recreation and Upper Merion Police Department Detective Les Glauner, Chair, Skate Park Committee, have been working to raise funds for a skate park project in Upper Merion Township. Mr. Russell introduced Mrs. Joan Kellett, along with her daughter Shannon, who thanked the Board of Supervisors for approving the naming of the skate park in memory of her son, Daniel T. Kellett, a former police officer. A young boy in the audience, Nate Sutton, made a donation to the skate park during Mrs. Kellett's presentation, and so far has donated over \$300 in cash. The dedication ceremony for the Daniel T. Kellett Memorial Skate Park is Saturday, December 8, 2012.

Engraved bricks are being sold as part of the fundraising effort for the skate park, and Detective Glauner noted that forms are available in the Park and Recreation Department as well as online at www.umskateboardpark.com. Three different sizes of bricks are offered: 4" x 6" for \$25, 8" x 8" for \$50, 12" x 12" for \$80, and 12" x 12" Business/Corporate brick with logo for \$100.

Mrs. Spott emphasized that the Skate Park project is an entirely volunteer fundraising effort and taxpayer dollars are not being used. She encouraged support for this worthwhile project for our youth.

SWEARING IN OF NEW POLICE OFFICER

Police Chief Nolan introduced 24-year old Carolyn Hunt to the members of the Board and the public. She is the newest member of the Upper Merion Police Department. The Temple University graduate was selected from among 264 candidates and completed a 10-step hiring process which began in September 2011. Chief Justice James Gallagher officiated at the swearing-in ceremony.

CONSENT AGENDA:

1. Supplemental Budget Appropriation re:
 - a. Library - Reference Desk Coverage and Programming - \$10,884
2. Budget Transfers re:
 - a. Public Works re: Overtime due to Hurricane Sandy - \$18,000
 - b. Public Works - Transportation re: Sidewalk Replacement - \$4,000
 - c. Budget Transfer re: Police Department - Uniforms - \$27,900
 - d. Budget Transfer re: Library - Reference Desk Coverage - \$8,000
 - e. Budget Transfer re: Library - Reference Desk Coverage - \$1,000
3. Equipment Replacement Request re: Township Building's Heating Boiler System - \$10,912
4. Resolution 2012-38 re: 2013 Fees Schedule
5. Resolution 2012-39 re: Application for Permit to Install and Operate Traffic Signals - Valley Forge Shopping Center Driveway
6. Bid Recommendations re:
 - a. 2013-2015 Snow Plowing Services Contract - Schultz Enterprises - \$88,820.00
 - b. 2013-2015 Sidewalk Snow Removal Services Contract - Cutting Edge Landscaping - \$8,940.00
7. Authorize Participation in FBI Philadelphia Crimes Against Children Task Force
8. Engagement Letter re: Gallagher Land Fill/Valley Forge, Inc.
9. Permission to Advertise Hearing re: Bed & Breakfast Ordinance *Hearing Date: January 10, 2013*
10. Tax Settlement
11. Approval of Rain Barrel Grant Project
12. Resolution 2012-41 re: Authorize Highway Occupancy Permit - Target
13. Resolution 2012-42 re: Authorize Highway Occupancy Permit - Wawa/Chick-fil-A

Board Comments:

Mr. Waks commented on Consent Agenda item #7, and stated human trafficking, including human trafficking of children, is a very serious problem, not just in the United States, but world-wide. He said Upper Merion Township has not been untouched by this problem, and by working with the FBI it will be possible to make serious headway in addressing this very vile crime.

Mr. Philips asked for clarification about the boiler replacement identified in Consent Agenda item #3. Mr. Kraynik responded, just the burners are being replaced.

Board Action:

It was moved by Mr. Jenaway, seconded by Mr. Waks, all voting "Aye" to approve the Consent Agenda as submitted. None opposed. Motion approved

4-0.

HEARING RE: ORDINANCE - COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE TAX

John Iannozzi, Township Solicitor, suspended the public meeting and proceeded into a public hearing for the tax ordinance and marked the following exhibits into the record: T-1, Letter to the Times Herald; T-2, Proof of Publication; T-3, Letter to the Law Library; T-4, Ordinance, and T-5, Legal Notice.

Mr. Nick Hiriak, Director of Finance, stated this ordinance is a change in collection procedures employed by the Montgomery County Treasurer's Office for Delinquent Real Estate Taxes. He explained currently when taxes are collected, there is a 5% administrative fee, and with enactment of this ordinance there will not be a 5% fee employed any longer and the Township will collect those taxes at 100% of revenue. Two different kinds of laws available for collection are being merged employing the best of both.

Without further questions from the Board and the public, Mr. Iannozzi closed the public hearing and proceeded back into the public meeting for the Board's consideration of the ordinance.

Board Action:

It was moved by Mr. Philips, seconded by Mr. Jenaway, all voting "Aye" to approve the Ordinance. None opposed. Motion approved 4-0. Ordinance 2012-813 was adopted and will be filed in Ordinance Book #16.

TOWNSHIP NEWSLETTER AGREEMENT

Mr. Dave Kraynik, Township Manager, stated this is an agreement to continue having township newsletters printed by Franklin Maps. He noted this agreement has been approved by the Media Communications Board after making a few procedural changes, but basically the contract is the same as it has been the last several years and the price remains the same.

Board Comment:

Mrs. Spott asked for clarification about the publication. Mr. Kraynik responded the agreement is for four editions of the community newsletter, *Township Lines*.

Mr. Jenaway commented this has been a positive move and makes good business sense as well as good community relations.

Board Action:

It was moved by Mr. Philips, seconded by Mr. Jenaway, all voting "Aye" to approve the Township Newsletter Agreement. None opposed. Motion approved 4-0.

BOARD POLICY RE: FLEET VEHICLES

Mrs. Spott stated the Board has been working on the Fleet Vehicle Board Policy during various workshop meeting sessions. The policy has undergone a thorough review and redlining process and is now ready for the Board's consideration.

Board Action:

It was moved by Mr. Waks, seconded by Mr. Jenaway, all voting "Aye" to approve the Board Policy as submitted. None opposed. Motion approved 4-0.

The following agenda items were considered by the Board en bloc:

RESOLUTION 2012-36 RE: ADOPTION OF 2013 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

RESOLUTION 2012-37 RE: ADOPTION OF 2013 GENERAL OPERATING BUDGET

ADOPTION OF SEWER REVENUE FUND BUDGET

Board Comment:

Mrs. Spott stated there was a 2013 Budget presentation at the Business Meeting in November, followed by a public posting, and it is now ready for adoption by the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Jenaway commented in addition to being posted at the Business Meeting meeting in November it was posted for the public since that meeting.

Mr. Kraynik noted the 2013 Budget has been posted for the public in excess of 20 days.

Mr. Philips emphasized it is important to note there are no new taxes proposed, revenues are doing well, and expenditures are down.

Mrs. Spott thanked her colleagues and staff for their good work this year.

Board Action:

It was moved by Mr. Philips, seconded by Mr. Waks, all voting "Aye" to approve Resolution 2012-36, Resolution 2012-37, and the Sewer Revenue Fund Budget as submitted. None opposed. Motion approved 4-0.

LAND DEVELOPMENT RE: FIRST REVIEW - US RESTAURANTS/BURGER KING. DEVELOPMENT PLAN. 568 DEKALB PIKE. DEMOLISH EXISTING BURGER KING RESTAURANT AND VET HOSPITAL AND CONSTRUCT NEW 3,278 SQUARE FOOT BURGER KING WITH DRIVE-THRU. C-1 COMMERCIAL, 1.01 ACRES

Mr. Rob Loeper, Township Planner, stated this is the first review of a rebuild of the Burger King Restaurant on DeKalb Pike, one of the older Burger King restaurants in the country. The site is shared with the King of Prussia Veterinary Hospital and both buildings will be demolished. The Veterinary Hospital will be relocated elsewhere in the township. The buildings currently on the site total approximately 6,000 square feet.

Utilizing the aerial, Mr. Loeper indicated the applicant is proposing to build a 3,200 square foot freestanding Burger King Restaurant with a drive-thru which will enter around the back of the site and exit onto DeKalb Pike. Parking is proposed to the east of the site.

This is an older site with a considerable amount of paving. Site improvements will include some additional green area and stormwater management.

The plan will be presented to the Upper Merion Planning Commission next week, and has been submitted to the Township Engineer for technical review.

Mr. Loeper noted there are a few waivers to review and examine, but this is a fairly straightforward redevelopment of an older site in the township.

Mrs. Spott asked if there are plans for a sidewalk. Mr. Loeper responded there are some sidewalks on the property; however, they are not continuous. Utilizing the aerial, he pointed out the locations of the existing sidewalks as well as an area without a sidewalk where there is a six or seven foot drop from the

road into the parking lot. The applicant indicates it would be difficult at this time to install a sidewalk in that location without construction of retaining walls. Mr. Loeper indicated this would have to be discussed further. He indicated the applicant would probably be willing to escrow for the sidewalk. Mr. Loeper also pointed out there is a connection in the back of the site with Courtside Square. He said there is a break in the fence that does provide a pedestrian connection through the back.

Mrs. Spott indicated she is not in favor of escrowing at this time. She called attention to the aerial and pointed out the benefit a pedestrian connection would provide to Burger King with a hotel next door.

Mr. Loeper stated he, as well as the Planning Commission, will be talking to the applicant about this issue as the plan moves forward.

Mr. Philips asked for clarification about the entrance and whether the ingress and egress is in the same location as currently exists. Mr. Loeper responded in the affirmative.

In looking at the overall plan, Mr. Philips noted it seems to have a circuitous route to get to the drive-thru.

Mr. Jenaway asked if there is any change anticipated for stormwater management. Mr. Beach responded there is a net decrease in impervious coverage and the plan calls for water quality basins on both corners of the site.

In answer to a previous question by Mr. Philips, Mr. Beach stated the plan does not show a change to the existing driveways; however, the traffic/truck circulation needs some work by providing wider radiuses where it meets Route 202.

Mr. Philips asked Mr. Loeper to go back to the aerial and show the location of the drive-thru. He asked for clarification about the circulation to the drive-thru. Mr. Beach responded the applicant is proposing as a patron enters the site they will not go down the center aisle. Utilizing the aerial, he pointed out the location of the drive-thru and where a patron will turn right upon entering the site and looping around.

Mr. Waks agrees with his colleagues on the Board with regard to sidewalks and stated it is pretty well known that when applicants come before this Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission, they better have sidewalk plans.

Mr. Beach commented it is probably doable to install [a sidewalk], and there will probably be some sort of retaining wall along the front. One of the comments in the Township Engineer's letter was to address the sidewalk issue.

LAND DEVELOPMENT RE: KING OF PRUSSIA ASSOCIATES. DEVELOPMENT PLAN. THE CONTAINER STORE AT KING OF PRUSSIA MALL. CONSTRUCTION OF A 24,216 SF RETAIL BUILDING IN AN EXISTING PARKING LOT AT THE KING OF PRUSSIA MALL. THE PROJECT WILL INCLUDE MODIFICATIONS TO THE PARKING, UTILITIES, LIGHTING AND LANDSCAPING. SITE ACREAGE: 127±, PROJECT ACREAGE: 2.36, ZONING: SC-SHOPPING CENTER (RESOLUTION 2012-40)

Mr. Loeper stated the applicant has submitted a land development application for their property located at The King of Prussia Mall in what is currently the most unused parking area at the Mall along DeKalb Pike. The plan has been previously reviewed and the applicant is asking for approval at this meeting.

The applicant is proposing to construct a 24,000 square foot free standing Container Store. Roadway improvements include moving the existing driveway into the mall slightly to the west and removing one of the right-turn exit lanes from

the Court. The median across from the entrance driveway will be lengthened to further prohibit traffic going straight across DeKalb Pike from Long Road. The two signalized left turns into the Court will remain.

Utilizing the aerial, Mr. Loeper pointed out the underground culvert which at one time was an open stream. The culvert takes the stormwater from the other side of Route 202 and South Gulph and then carries it past the mall and over toward the turnpike.

The applicant will be required to pay a Highway Capital Assessment to the Transportation Authority of \$46,414.00

The applicant proposes a continuous sidewalk along the frontage of The Container Store as well as a pedestrian connection to the garage in front of the Court.

Stormwater runoff for the site will be managed by an infiltration basin (rain garden), which ultimately drains into the existing stormwater system.

The Upper Merion Planning Commission reviewed the plan twice and based on comments from the planning commission, the applicant modified the physical appearance of the proposed building to include a more visually appealing frontage on DeKalb Pike.

The landscape plan includes the addition of 10 shade trees and numerous shrubs to buffer the perimeter of the property and parking area. The plantings will be located primarily along the perimeter of the site, buffering DeKalb Pike and the parking area of the King of Prussia Court. The Shade Tree and Beautification Commission reviewed the plan.

The applicant will pay a fee-in-lieu of dedication of open space. The required fee is \$4,843.00

The applicant has requested three waivers:

§ 140-10(A)(2) requiring grading to be set back 5' from property lines. Grading along NE property line will be within 5' of said line. *Mr. Loeper noted in this case the grading set back will be within five feet of the property lines. He said in moving into ordinance updates, this section will be reviewed to make sure it makes sense.*

§ 145-24(A) requiring parking stalls to be marked with four-inch-wide double paint lines spaced one foot apart. Parking stalls on-site will be marked with a single four-inch wide paint line.

§ 145-24.1(b) requiring one shade tree for each 500 square feet of leasable floor area. The applicant will be providing 10 shade trees to meet the requirements of § 145-24.1.1(a) requiring one shade tree for each 10 parking spaces. In lieu of providing an additional 39 trees to meet the requirements of § 145-24.1(b), the applicant will be providing shrub replacement for trees at a ratio of 5 shrubs for every shade tree.

Staff requests the Board of Supervisors to consider the following conditions of approval:

1. Prior to the commencement of site work, the plans shall be revised to address the October 18 and October 22, 2012 review letter to the satisfaction of the Township Engineer.

2. No building permit shall be issued until the owner has executed a Highway Traffic Capital Improvement Assessment Agreement with the Upper Merion Township Transportation Authority.

3. The plans shall be recorded in accordance with the Pennsylvania

Municipalities Planning Code

4. The applicant shall pay a \$4,843.00 fee in lieu of dedication of open space

5. The applicant must provide copies of all outside agencies to the Township.

Mr. Loeper noted the Township Engineer issued a new letter dated December 5, 2012 which he has not seen as yet.

Mr. Iannozzi stated the Resolution will be revised to remove paragraph 2(a)4, with reference to the Montgomery County Planning Commission letter and also add the new date for the Township Engineer's letter.

Mrs. Spott asked for clarification about the Township Engineer's letter that was just received. Mr. Beach responded the applicant has addressed most of the issues and there are a few minor issues to be worked out.

Mr. Waks expressed appreciation to the Upper Merion Planning Commission for their efforts not only in reviewing the plans from a code standpoint, but also in reviewing the architectural features as well.

Mr. Loeper commented that the recent appointments to the Planning Commission who have a design background have helped focus on some of these aesthetic issues.

Mr. Jenaway remarked about the challenge of the original blank wall that created an unappealing visual perspective from what is seen along Route 202, and he noted the applicant's cooperation in achieving the end result.

Mr. Philips commented about the appreciable grade difference between where the Exxon station is and where The Container Store is going. He asked if there is a retaining wall there now. Keith Marshall, PE, Nave Kewell, pointed out on the aerial the green space where there is a swale behind the building and a five or 10 foot space where it slopes down away from the building, swales out and then goes up to the hillside to the Exxon station. He said there is a slight retaining wall, but that will be graded out.

Mr. Philips asked if any connections have been made with the Exxon Station other than sidewalk along DeKalb Pike, or in the back so people could get back to the Mall. Mr. Marshall responded there is significant grade change along Exxon's entire property, and the Exxon Station sits in a high area. That corner is higher in elevation and drops off going down Allendale Road. The property just to the north of the Exxon Station follows that same grade change. Mr. Marshall was not sure what kind of connection could be made there because of the significant grade change.

Mrs. Spott followed up by asked about stairs in that location that were referenced by the applicant redeveloping the Exxon site.

Mr. Philips commented if all the retailers can be interconnected in some way whether it is connections for pedestrians or sharing parking, it makes the whole sales and retail entity stronger.

Mrs. Spott wanted to get a consensus from both property owners [Exxon redeveloper and Container Store] that it is a good idea to install stairs and connect along the back so that people could use the parking. Denise Yarnoff, representing the applicant, responded she doubted that would work because of utilities and the swale at the rear of the building.

A discussion ensued wherein the supervisors suggested various options for providing connectivity. Highlights follow:

- Mr. Keith Marshall, PE, Nave Newell, stated if stairs are installed they would have to be ADA compliant.
- Mrs. Spott asked for a commitment from the Container Store applicant to allow a connection on their property if the Exxon redeveloper was willing to do it. Ms. Yarnoff was unwilling to commit the applicant since she was not sure what is currently there. She said if there are already steps in that location, there might already be some sort of pathway connection.
- Mr. Beach pointed out on the aerial that the plan is showing in the far top corner an emergency door exit with a landing and steps that go right up to the curb line so it would not be possible to walk straight down along the front of the property as it is.
- Ms. Yarnoff stated the applicant is committed to talking with the Exxon redeveloper about connectivity.
- Mr. Philips emphasized one of the things the Board of Supervisors is looking to do with the changes in the Subdivision of Land Development as well as the Zoning Code is to promote inner connectivity since it provides more green space, stormwater management, and more overall efficiencies.

Mrs. Spott asked for more information on the reason for no double striping. Matt Hammond, PE, Traffic Planning and Design, responded double striping has always been perceived as the better way to provide parking spaces because it gives more room on each side of the car, promotes parking between the lines, etc. As a member of the Institute of Transportation Engineers Parking Council, one of the things they have looked into in the past was double versus single striping. Mr. Hammond referred to an article published in *Parking Today* by Paul Mack, the President of Parking Consultants in Colorado, who obtained some data collection on that issue of about 10,000 parking spaces at 25 different parking garages in Colorado. Aside from the fact that the cost of double striping is 2 ½ times more than single striping and more in maintenance costs, Mr. Mack found that double striping promoted more improper parking techniques. His reasoning was that drivers come in, see the double striping and park all the way over to the side to avoid door dings and give themselves more room on either side of the parking spot or they pull in angled. Mr. Mack claims 10% of the cars were improperly parked and 65 of those were intentionally improperly parked.

Mrs. Spott took issue with how it was known that 65 people intentionally parked improperly without asking them. Mr. Hammond responded the assumption was made that the 65 cars were parked all the way over to the edge to avoid door dings and were intentionally parked like that.

Mrs. Spott continued to question that assumption which was made without any information to back it up and as a result further questioned the validity of the study's conclusions. Mr. Hammond stated he could not tell how the 65 cars were determined.

Mr. Philips questioned the fundamental reason for the waiver request for single versus double striping. Mr. Hammond responded it reduces the cost as well as maintenance of those parking spaces.

Mr. Philips stated it does not reduce the space size and it is just the cost of the striping. Mr. Hammond responded there is really no benefit to the double striping versus the single striping that has been found to date.

Mrs. Spott followed up by saying if Mr. Hammond states people are intentionally parking correctly in single lines, she does not believe that is valid. Mrs. Spott pointed out the safety benefits of backing into parking spaces and double lines are helpful in this respect.

Mr. Hammond stated in his position as Chairman of the Parking Council he found in his research over the years there is no benefit to providing double line spaces versus single lined spaces. He agrees backing into parking spaces is the best, but the majority of people do not back into parking spaces regardless of whether they are in an office or retail complex.

Mr. Mike Hartman, Simon Properties, stated part of the single stripe for the applicant is cost/ maintenance; however, in his view, single stripe keeps people focused on just two spots instead of four lines.

Ms. Yarnoff stated the striping issue was discussed with the Planning Commission and they recommended the waiver. She said cost and maintenance is a factor, but that is not the only reason the applicant is asking for the waiver.

Mr. Jenaway stated the Planning Commission approved that waiver. He commented over the last 18 months there have been several scenarios wherein the township was unable to enforce the shift to double lines. As far as he is concerned the ordinance has created too much conflict and should be changed to single lines.

Mr. Waks commented on the striping issue and recommended picking one option and staying with it.

Mrs. Spott said it is her understanding that the issue with enforcement was that repaving cannot be enforced because it is under Planning and Subdivision. She asked Mr. Loeper for clarification on restriping. Mr. Loeper responded when there is a new plan it has to meet the provisions of the Subdivision ordinance. The township does not have permits issued for resurfacing and restriping. Mr. Loeper noted the township adopted double striping around 1987 and Upper Merion is one of the few communities in the area with double striping.

Mr. Jenaway commented the fact that a single stripe can be done on an existing property, but for anything new a double stripe is required creates more problems and challenges for both contractors doing painting as well as enforcement problems for the township. As far as having some visual perspective to get into a parking space, he believes single striping is fine.

Mr. Philips commented from his standpoint every development he has ever done has always been single. Double looks nice, but it is the same size space. Mr. Philips did take issue with Mr. Hammond's study in the way double stripes were portrayed. He said if there is difficulty with enforcement it may be necessary to revisit the Subdivision and Land Development Code.

The discussion returned to the connectivity issue wherein Mr. Philips offered additional suggestions for enhancing connectivity. Ms. Yarnoff reiterated the applicant does not know enough about the connectivity options, and she reiterated the applicant would commit to talk to the Exxon redevelopment applicant about it.

Mr. Beach asked for clarification of one of Mr. Philips suggestions with regard to the stairs. Mr. Philips stated he is suggesting to review if the Exxon property has stairs at the back (where the dumpster is) and if they connect with a striped walkway over to the other planted area into the garage. Mr. Philips indicated he does not think it is feasible to go along the road to make the connection for two reasons: (1) there is an emergency exit out of the Container Store and the rest is heavily planted which the applicant is going to need either way. Mr. Philips said it seems from a developer's standpoint the applicant would want the connection to be able to have that inner connectability.

Mr. Beach clarified Mr. Philips is not talking about a Container Store per se as much as the Mall property to go across the Mall property. Mr. Philips responded in the affirmative.

Mr. Loeper pointed to a specific location on the aerial and asked if this is the location Mr. Philips just referenced. Mr. Philips responded in the affirmative and said, "if that is what the developers will allow."

Mr. Beach said to keep in mind that area is on a bend.

Ms. Yarnoff reiterated the applicant is not in a position to agree to the connection right now. She said the applicant will absolutely agree and commit to speaking with the Exxon redevelopers and looking at the connectivity issue.

Board Action:

It was moved by Mr. Philips, seconded by Mr. Jenaway, to approve the plan of the Container Store at the King of Prussia Mall with the waivers and conditions as outlined by the Township Planner as well as the additional condition to be included in the revised Resolution that the applicant will talk with the developer of the Exxon property for possible internal connection. Mrs. Spott opposed. Motion approved 3-1.

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE & PAYROLL:

It was moved by Mr. Jenaway, seconded by Mr. Waks, all voting "Aye" to approve the Accounts Payable for invoices processed from November 1, 2012 to December 3, 2012 in the amount of \$1,053,791.79. None opposed. Motion approved 4-0.

ADDITIONAL BUSINESS

BOY SCOUTS

Mrs. Spott recognized the boy scouts at this Business Meeting who were in attendance to observe and learn more about local government and their civic duties.

UPCOMING EVENTS IN TOWNSHIP

Mrs. Spott announced a number of additional Township meetings and events.

From the Public:

Mr. Nick DeRosato asked for the status of the packet of information he dropped off at the Township Building in early November, and he was advised that his concerns will be reviewed now that the press of budget and end of year matters have been concluded by the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Herbert Baiersdorfer, Gypsy Lane, reiterated his concerns raised at previous meetings, and was ruled out of order. Mrs. Spott asked that the record reflect Mr. Baiersdorfer has been provided numerous opportunities to present something new and he has refused to do so.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Board, it was moved by Mr. Philips, seconded by Mr. Waks, all voting "Aye" to adjourn the meeting. None opposed. Motion approved 4-0. Adjournment occurred at 9:16 p.m.

DAVID G. KRAYNIK
TOWNSHIP MANAGER DESIGNEE

rap
Minutes Approved:
Minutes Entered